

INFLUENCE OF VOLCANIC ERUPTIONS ON TROPICAL HYDROCLIMATE  
DURING THE LAST MILLENNIUM

by

Christopher M. Colose

A Dissertation

Submitted to the University at Albany, State University of New York

in Partial Fulfillment of

the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

College of Arts & Sciences

Department of Atmospheric & Environmental Sciences

2017

ProQuest Number: 10255311

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10255311

Published by ProQuest LLC (2017). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code  
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

# Abstract

Volcanic eruptions exert the most important radiative forcing on Earth's climate during the pre-industrial interval of the last millennium. In this thesis, I investigate the role of volcanic eruptions in altering tropical climate, including temperature and rainfall. I primarily use forced transient simulations of the last millennium as a tool to explore how explosive volcanic events project onto the hydrologic cycle, as well as the imprint of water isotopologues ( $\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ ) associated with rainfall. Attention is given to the South American continent specifically (in chapter 2), and to the entire tropics (in chapter 3).

In Chapter 2, I show that volcanic eruptions cool the South American continent and alter rainfall, decreasing the intensity of the austral summer monsoon, and decreasing rainfall in the northern part of the continent during austral winter. These factors also conspire to influence the isotopic signal left behind, informing the detectability of volcanic excursions in the paleoclimate record and the anticipated hydroclimate response at the continental scale for future eruptions.

The results of chapter 2 emerge from a simple composite response to many of the largest volcanic eruptions during the last millennium and instrumental period. In chapter 3, I advocate for a more targeted approach in how volcanic eruptions are stratified when interpreting physical responses or comparing to past records; in particular, I highlight the role of the spatial structure in volcanic forcing in altering the mean intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) position, and the associated response of different monsoon systems. The main finding in chapter 3 is that the ITCZ moves away from the preferentially forced hemisphere, which leads to unique ENSO behavior, river discharge anomalies, or patterns in isotopic anomalies, depending on the location of the eruption. In this chapter, I also make contact with recent advances in understanding ITCZ

migrations through the lens of the atmospheric energy budget. I discuss the significance of these findings for interpreting the paleoclimate record.

In chapter 4, I expand upon chapter 3 by quantifying individual feedbacks (including water vapor and clouds) that arise in response to different spatial structures of volcanic forcing. I demonstrate that cloud and water vapor distributions differ dramatically for aerosol loadings that are northern hemisphere focused, southern hemisphere focused, or fairly symmetric about the equator. Such feedback differences may amplify or dampen ITCZ movements or complicate inferences of how feedbacks are expected to behave in a warming world.

PREVIEW

# Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I offer deepest thanks to my adviser, Mathias Vuille. During my 5+ years at Albany, I have had tremendous freedom to carve out my own research path and pursue interests only loosely related to the grant topic that funded the large duration of my stay. Throughout my meandering journey, especially early on, he gently nudged me in the right direction by providing certain tasks and in helping to clarify the scope of my own investigation. He is also a meticulous editor and greatly improved the quality and readability of much of the content in this manuscript.

A special thanks to Allegra LeGrande at NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies. Allegra effectively acted as a "second adviser" and was patient during the early portions of my PhD career, when I was still working out the kinks and fundamentals of doing basic research. Allegra was a host during two summer visits at NASA GISS, and has provided invaluable support.

I thank the remainder of my committee: Brian Rose, Aiguo Dai, and Oliver Elison Timm, for reading and commenting on my thesis material, and for developing questions in my qualification exam. All of my committee members have consistently kept "open doors" to questions and the exchange of ideas. I especially thank Brian Rose, who compels those he advises (formally or informally) to be a good philosopher of science, in addition to a good scientist. I've sincerely enjoyed my inspiring discussions on topics far removed from thesis content with him, and in sharing the thrill of thinking deeply about simple things.

I thank the entire support staff in the Department of Atmospheric & Environmental Science, which keep all the little things running smooth and without worry on the part of the graduate students. I thank my officemates and friends; during my later years, I've gotten to know Ted Letcher and Cameron Rencurrel well, and I've enjoyed my company with them during the good times (e.g., Graduate Climate Conference) and the bad (e.g., the 2016 election). They have each provided some humor during the otherwise dull sessions of coding.

My path into climate science came from an unusual direction. I would be remiss to not mention inspiration that came from contributors at <http://www.realclimate.org/>, a blog run by climate scientists, and a source that helped mold my own interest in the climate system. I especially thank Gavin Schmidt, who took the time to answer naïve questions I had as an undergraduate, and who offered his support upon entering graduate school. I am excited to come to NASA GISS, where he is now director, for at least a couple years to do a postdoctoral fellowship. I also want to mention the writings of Raymond Pierrehumbert, which I consider to be the most influential in shaping the way I think about the big picture of how a planet's climate operates.

I'm grateful to my parents, Matt and Carol, for their love and guidance growing up, and continuous support even when they didn't understand the subtle reasons behind taking another half decade out of life to complete one's doctorate's degree. Surely, they no longer want to hear the phrase, "I'm almost done," and I am glad I can put that to rest. Finally, my girlfriend Melissa was of tremendous support during my time. Dating someone with the unparalleled amount of free time and endless financial resources of a

graduate student would simply be too overwhelming for many, but I am happy she was on my side during the challenge. Thank you.

PREVIEW

## Statement of Publication/Contribution of Authors

I, Christopher Colose, was the lead researcher for all material presented in this manuscript. Dr. Mathias Vuille at the University at Albany, and Dr. Allegra LeGrande at NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies, contributed to Chapters 2 and 3 through discussions of methodology and results. Both acted in a supervisory capacity through the duration of my PhD track, and provided comments on all chapters. Dr. LeGrande also provided data used in Chapters 2 and 3.

Chapters 2 and 3 of this dissertation include the following published material, with only minor changes to ensure continuity in figure/section numbering throughout the thesis. Inclusion of these chapters is necessary to provide a coherent and appropriately sequenced investigation of the subject-matter.

General permission for reproduction of these works has been provided:

[http://www.climate-of-the-past.net/about/licence\\_and\\_copyright.html](http://www.climate-of-the-past.net/about/licence_and_copyright.html)

[http://www.earth-system-dynamics.net/about/licence\\_and\\_copyright.html](http://www.earth-system-dynamics.net/about/licence_and_copyright.html)

Colose, C.M., LeGrande, A.N., and Vuille, M., 2016: The influence of volcanic eruptions on the climate of tropical South America during the last millennium in an isotope-enabled general circulation model, *Clim. Past*, 12, 961-979, doi:10.5194/cp-12-961-2016.

Colose, C.M., LeGrande, A.N., and Vuille, M., 2016: Hemispherically asymmetric volcanic forcing of tropical hydroclimate during the last millennium, *Earth Syst. Dyn.*, 7, 681-696, doi:10.5194/esd-7-681-2016.



# Table of Contents

1. General Introduction .....	pg. 1
1.1. Opening.....	pg. 1
1.2. Volcanoes and Climate— a primer.....	pg. 3
1.3. Water Isotopes.....	pg. 11
1.4. Last Millennium Climate.....	pg. 14
1.5. Motivating Problems in Tropical Hydroclimate.....	pg. 16
1.6. Asymmetries in Climate.....	pg. 21
2. The influence of volcanic eruptions on the climate of tropical South America during the last millennium in an isotope-enabled GCM.....	pg. 43
2.1. Introduction.....	pg. 46
2.1.1. Volcanic forcing on climate.....	pg. 46
2.1.2. Volcanic forcing during the last millennium.....	pg. 49
2.1.3. Tropical South American Climate.....	pg. 50
2.1.4. Recent South American monsoon reconstructions from isotopic proxies.....	pg. 51
2.2. Methodology.....	pg. 54
2.2.1. Data.....	pg. 54
2.2.2. Superposed epoch and composite analysis.....	pg. 57
2.2.3. Influence of ENSO on the late 20 <sup>th</sup> century (L20) eruptions...	pg. 60
2.3. Results and Discussion.....	pg. 61
2.3.1. L20.....	pg. 61
2.3.2. Last Millennium Composites.....	pg. 63
2.3.2.1. Temperature and precipitation.....	pg. 63
2.3.2.2. Tropical hydroclimate response.....	pg. 65
2.3.2.3. Oxygen isotope anomalies.....	pg. 66
2.4. Conclusions.....	pg. 70
3. Hemispherically asymmetric volcanic forcing of tropical hydroclimate during the last millennium.....	pg. 104
3.1. Introduction.....	pg. 107
3.2. Methods.....	pg. 109
3.3. Results.....	pg. 115
3.3.1. Temperature, precipitation, and El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) response.....	pg. 115
3.3.2. River outflow.....	pg. 121
3.3.3. Water isotopic variability.....	pg. 122
3.3.4. Atmospheric energetics.....	pg. 125
3.4. Conclusions.....	pg. 131

4. The role of top-of-atmosphere radiative responses on ITCZ migrations for hemispherically symmetric and asymmetric volcanic forcing.....	pg. 154
4.1. Introduction.....	pg. 155
4.2. Methods.....	pg. 159
4.3. Results.....	pg. 165
4.3.1. Feedback structure.....	pg. 166
4.3.2. Role of feedbacks on ITCZ shift.....	pg. 169
4.4. Conclusions.....	pg. 172
4.5. Appendix.....	pg. 174

PREVIEW

## List of Figures

**Figure 1.1.** Time-series of global-mean near surface (or SST) temperature anomalies ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) relative to 1880-1909. Data is from the Land-Ocean Temperature Index provided by NASA GISS, from 1880-November 2016. Monthly and annual raw data shown by grey dots and red line, respectively. ENSO-removed curve from 1951-present (annual-mean, blue line) is based on lagged linear regression at each grid point. Temperature was allowed to lag between the Niño 3 index from 0-6 months at each point, with the lag decided by the highest correlation between the ENSO state and local detrended temperature with two years after major volcanic eruptions removed in the regression. Vertical dashed line prior to the Mt. Pinatubo eruption..... pg. 37

**Figure 1.2.** Time-series of the global and annual mean greenhouse effect strength ( $\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ ) as simulated in the CESM Last Millennium Ensemble (all forcing simulations, see chapter 3 for details) from 850-2005 C.E., calculated as the difference between upwelling surface longwave radiation and the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR). Dark grey shows the ensemble spread (10 members) and the red line is the ensemble mean. Global and annual mean precipitable water (calculated from a mass-weighted vertical integral of specific humidity, in  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) shown in aqua. .... pg. 39

**Figure 1.3.** NH, tropical ( $30^{\circ}\text{S}$ - $30^{\circ}\text{N}$ ), and global-mean temperature anomalies for three different periods to broadly represent the Little Ice Age (legend in bottom panel), relative to the Medieval Climate Period (950-1200 C.E.) in the CESM Last Millennium Ensemble all and individual forcing experiments. Number of ensembles used for each forcing experiment shown above the forcing name..... pg. 40

**Figure 1.4.** Annual-mean precipitation (fill,  $\text{mm}/\text{day}$ ) from GPCPv2.2 from 1981-2010. Wind (near-surface) vectors (length based on magnitude, in  $\text{m}/\text{s}$ ) from NCAR/NCEP reanalysis over the same period..... pg. 41

**Figure 1.5.** Schematic of meridional energy transport (PW) by the atmosphere. The black curve is taken from a control simulation in a symmetric aquaplanet (CESM 1.2.1). The red curve is an

idealized representation of how this transport could change for a warm perturbation in the Southern Hemisphere..... pg. 42

**Figure 2.1.** Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) used to force the NASA GISS ModelE2-R over the Last Millennium and (bottom) zoomed in on the period 1950-1999 (Crowley+Sato) as discussed in text. AOD is the vertically integrated (15-35 km) and latitudinal average from 30°S to 30°N. Note difference in vertical scale between graphs. Orange dashed line marks the AOD threshold for defining a LM eruption in the present study. Eruption events defined in text must sustain the threshold AOD for at least one year, so not all events above the orange dashed line are used in the composites..... pg. 89

**Figure 2.2.** (Top) Observed Climatological Precipitation for DJF (shading, in mm day<sup>-1</sup>). SAMS box is drawn over the domain from 20°S to 0°, 77.5°W to 45°W and used for Figure 9 and 12. Data from the GPCP product, long-term climatological rainfall derived from years 1981 - 2010. (Bottom) As above, except for JJA. Box from 0° to 10°N, 77.5°W to 52.5°W used in averaging for Figures 9 and 12..... pg. 90

**Figure 2.3.** Seasonal cycle (DJF minus JJA) of precipitation in **a**) GPCP precipitation product, from data in Figure 2 **b**) in ModelE2-R **c**)  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_p$  in GNIP data **d**) and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_p$  in ModelE2-R. GNIP data only shown for stations with at least 90 reported  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_p$  values at a given station from 1960-present, in addition to at least ten data values for each month: December, January, February, June, July, and August. Stations with seasonal differences of less than +/- 1.0 per mil are also omitted in panel (c)..... pg. 92

**Figure 2.4.** Composite tropical (30°S to 30°N) temperature response following the L20 volcanic eruptions. Fill colors denote observed monthly anomalies using GISTEMP, with 24-month running average shown as solid black line. ModelE2-R ensemble mean is shown as solid orange line and dashed grey lines indicate the six individual ensemble members. Anomalies are referenced to 5 years prior to eruptions (years -5 to 0). Dashed purple lines encompass the 5-95% interval for monthly tropical-mean temperature anomalies (relative to the previous five-year mean) in the GISTEMP product from 1950-present. The calculation of this range omits data two

years after the L20 eruptions. The range is not symmetric about zero due to the tropical warming trend during this interval. All data are based on the ENSO-removal technique discussed in text.

..... pg. 93

**Figure 2.5.** Annual-mean temperature change ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , ocean masked) for each L20 eruption (labeled on plot) in GISTEMP (top row) and each ModelE2-R ensemble member, as discussed in text. All plots use ENSO-removal procedure described in text..... pg. 94

**Figure 2.6.** As in Figure 5, except for precipitation change ( $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ )..... pg. 95

**Figure 2.7.** Last Millennium post-volcanic temperature composite ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) averaged over all 45 events during **a)** DJF and **b)** JJA from GISS ModelE2-R using procedure described in text. Stippling highlights areas with anomalies significant at  $p < 0.1$ ..... pg. 96

**Figure 2.8.** Last Millennium post-volcanic precipitation composite ( $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ) with all eruption events during **a)** DJF and **b)** JJA from GISS ModelE2-R using procedure described in text. Stippling highlights areas with anomalies significant at  $p < 0.1$ ..... pg. 97

**Figure 2.9.** **a)** Average temperature anomaly during DJF within the SAMS region (red,  $20^{\circ}\text{S}$  to  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $77.5^{\circ}\text{W}$  to  $45^{\circ}\text{W}$ ) and equatorial South America during JJA (blue,  $0^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}\text{N}$ ,  $77.5^{\circ}\text{W}$  to  $52.5^{\circ}\text{W}$ ) plotted against the peak AOD for all 15 eruptions (each point averaged over three ensemble members with the three member spread shown as horizontal bars) and **b)** As in a), but for precipitation. Dashed horizontal lines indicate the 5-95% range for a two-season average of each variable relative to the previous 15 years (averaged over the same domain) in the entire control simulation with no external forcing. In both panels, the correlation coefficient and p-value are reported for a) temperature and b) precipitation vs.  $\Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}_p$  in each season and over the same domain. The regression uses all 45 volcanic events..... pg. 98

**Figure 2.10.** Last Millennium post-volcanic oxygen isotope in precipitation ( $\Delta\delta^{18}\text{O}_p$ ) composite (per mil) with all eruption events during **a)** DJF and **b)** JJA from GISS ModelE2-R using procedure described in text. Stippling highlights areas with anomalies significant at  $p < 0.1$ .

**Figure 2.11.** Last Millennium Hovmöller diagram (10 years, time moving forward going upward, with year number labeled next to each month) for **a)** temperature anomaly ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) **b)** precipitation anomaly ( $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ) using procedure described in text. Solid black lines mark closest January to start of each eruption used in composite. **c)** Same as panel b, except zoomed in on  $10^{\circ}\text{S}$  to  $10^{\circ}\text{N}$  and over 3 years of time beginning with the January closest to each eruption. Red line in panel c shows latitude of maximum climatological precipitation as a function of time of year. All results zonally averaged in model from  $77.5^{\circ}\text{W}$  to  $45^{\circ}\text{W}$ . **d)** Last Millennium Hovmöller diagram for oxygen isotopes in precipitation (per mil)..... pg. 101

**Figure 2.12.** Frequency distribution of 100 random 45-event composites in LM control simulation of ModelE2-R (blue) for temperature (top row), precipitation (middle), and oxygen isotopes in precipitation (bottom) for DJF (left column) and JJA (right column). Results averaged over same domains as in Figure 9. Normal distribution with a mean and standard deviation equal to that of the data shown in red. Purple square shows the single 45-event composite used in this study, with the distribution of individual 15 volcanic eruptions (each averaged over three ensemble members) in black dots..... pg. 102

**Figure 3.1.** Global Aerosol Loading ( $\text{Tg}$ ) from Gao et al. (2008) in red line.  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{NH}}$  (green circles),  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{SH}}$  (blue circles), and SYMM (black circles) events that are used in composites are shown. Note that Samalás is omitted, as discussed in text. The time-series is at seasonal (five-month) resolution and thus multiple points may be associated with a single eruption. The hemispheric contrast (NH minus SH) clear-sky net solar radiation ( $\text{FSNTC}^-$  in  $\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ ) in CESM LME is shown in orange (offset to have zero mean)..... pg. 144

**Figure 3.2.** CESM spatial composite of surface temperature anomaly ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for (top row)  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{NH}}$ , (middle row)  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{SH}}$ , and (bottom row) SYMM events, each in (left column) NDJFM and (right column) MJJAS. Stippling indicates statistical significance using a two-sided student's t-test ( $p < 0.05$ )..... pg. 145

**Figure 3.3** Box-and-whisker diagrams showing the (red fill) global mean, (green fill) NH mean, and (blue fill) SH mean temperature anomaly in the ASYMM<sub>NH</sub>, ASYMM<sub>SH</sub>, and SYMM eruption cases on vertical axis. All events are normalized by a 20 Tg global loading size. For GISS-E2, loadings were multiplied by a factor of two to approximately account for the over-inflated forcing prior to analysis. Results are shown for the CESM and GISS-E2 model and for NDJFM and MJJAS, as labeled. Black solid line indicates the median, box width spans the 25-75% quartiles, and tails span the full interval for all cases. N=the number of events used in each category (consistent with the number of listed events in Table 1, multiplied by 18 ensemble members for CESM and 3 ensemble members for GISS-E2). Bottom panels (CTRL) show the spread of 100 randomly selected and non-overlapping events averaged over two seasons (relative to the previous five seasons) in a control run..... pg. 146

**Figure 3.4.** As in Figure 2, except for precipitation (mm/day)..... pg. 147

**Figure 3.5.** As in Figure 3, except for precipitation (mm/day, normalized to 20 Tg in the forced simulations; mm/day in the control). N (not shown) is the same as in Figure 3.

**Figure 3.6.** As in Figures 2 and 4, except for river discharge (m<sup>3</sup>/s, or 10<sup>-6</sup> Sverdrups). pg. 149

**Figure 3.7.** GISS-E2 spatial composite of the oxygen isotope anomaly (per mil) in (top row) ASYMM<sub>NH</sub>, (middle row) ASYMM<sub>SH</sub>, and (bottom row) SYMM events in (left column) NDJFM and (right column) MJJAS..... pg. 150

**Figure 3.8. a)** CESM climatology of atmospheric energy transport (PW, black), dry (red), and latent (dark blue) transports. **b)** Composite mean anomaly in atmospheric heat transport for ASYMM<sub>NH</sub> eruptions in total (black), dry (red), and latent (blue) components. Lighter (orange and aqua) lines represent individual eruptions, each averaged over 17 ensemble members. **c)** As in (b), except for ASYMM<sub>SH</sub> eruptions. Grey envelope corresponds to the total AET anomaly vs. latitude in a control simulation using 50 realizations of a 17-event composite (17 “events” with no external forcing, corresponding to the size of the ensemble). Vertical bars correspond to the

range of (aqua) latent and (orange) dry components of cross-equatorial energy transport ( $AET_{eq}$ ) in the control composite..... pg. 151

**Figure 3.9.** Annual-mean ITCZ shift represented by changes in (topleft)  $\phi_{med}$ , and (topright)  $\phi_{ITCZ}$  ( $N = 5$ ) vs. change in  $AET_{eq}$ . Changes in  $\phi_{ITCZ}$  ( $N = 3$ ) vs. change in EFE (bottomleft). See text for definitions. Total AET vs. latitude for a small band centered around the equator for all volcanic events in (green)  $ASYMM_{NH}$ , (blue)  $ASYMM_{SH}$ , and (black) SYMM cases (bottomright). Black dashed line indicates climatological or pre-eruption AET values (different choices are indistinguishable). Colored arrows represent the direction of anomalous  $AET_{eq}$ .  
..... pg. 152

**Figure 4.1.** Mass streamfunction anomaly ( $Tg\ s^{-1}$ , or  $10^9\ kg\ s^{-1}$ ) for the (a)  $ASYMM_{NH}$  and (b)  $ASYMM_{SH}$  composite. Values defined positive (negative) for clockwise (counterclockwise) circulation in the pressure-latitude plane. (c) Zonal-mean precipitation anomalies for  $ASYMM_{NH}$  (green line),  $ASYMM_{SH}$  (blue line) and SYMM (black line) classifications. (d) Change in ITCZ latitude (see appendix) vs. anomaly in atmospheric energy transport across the equator ( $AHT_{eq}$  in  $0.1\ PW$ , where  $1\ PW = 10^{15}\ Watts$ ). Positive (negative)  $\Delta AHT_{eq}$  represents anomalous northward (southward) energy transport. Colored points represent the individual eruptions in  $ASYMM_{NH}$  (green),  $ASYMM_{SH}$  (blue), and SYMM (black) after averaging over the five ensemble members. The cloud of grey points represents each realization of all eruptions. All anomalies are derived from the two-year average following peak eruption, relative to the five-year average prior to the eruption..... pg. 179

**Figure 4.2.** Zonal-mean climate forcing or feedbacks ( $W\ m^{-2}\ K^{-1}$ ) in a doubled  $CO_2$  run using the radiative kernel method from the NCAR CCSM3 model (see text), except for the dashed aqua line (using the APRP method). Responses defined positive (negative) when the response amplifies warming (cooling) at that latitude. Responses are derived from data averaged over the final 10 years from the available control and perturbed monthly output..... pg. 180

**Figure 4.3.** Zonal-mean climate forcing or feedbacks ( $W\ m^{-2}\ K^{-1}$ ) for  $ASYMM_{NH}$  (top),  $ASYMM_{SH}$  (middle) and SYMM (bottom) from the CESM Last Millennium composite (see text



for details), as labeled in the top panel. Responses defined positive (negative) when the response amplifies cooling (warming) at that latitude. .... pg. 181

**Figure 4.4.** Hemispheric contrast in temperature anomalies ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{NH}}$  (top) and  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{SH}}$  (bottom). Results shown for the composite mean in the pressure vs. latitude plane (defined by  $x^{\circ}\text{N}$  minus  $x^{\circ}\text{S}$ , where  $x$  is the labeled latitude)..... pg. 182

**Figure 4.5.** Vertically integrated zonal-mean precipitable water anomalies expressed as a difference (solid lines,  $\text{kg m}^{-2}$ ) or % change (dashed lines) normalized to the global-mean surface temperature anomaly. Results shown for the composite mean of all eruption categories. .... pg. 183

**Figure 4.6.** Spatial pattern of all forcing or feedbacks ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ ) in the composite mean for  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{NH}}$  (left column),  $\text{ASYMM}_{\text{SH}}$  (middle column), and SYMM (right column), with the individual terms labeled for each row. Note the different scales for each variable. The cloud LW and cloud SW feedbacks have the same colorbar (shown once). Note the temperature feedback is the sum of the Planck and lapse rate components. Positive (negative) values indicate amplifying (dampening) influence on volcanic cooling..... pg. 184

**Figure 4.7.**  $\text{AHT}_{\text{eq}}$  anomaly ( $0.1 \text{ PW}$ ) vs. Hemispheric contrast (NH minus SH) in TOA radiative response ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) for (a) all forcings and feedbacks (b) aerosol only forcing (c) net cloud feedback (d) Planck feedback (e) Lapse Rate (f) Water Vapor (g) LW clouds (h) SW clouds (i) Surface Albedo (j) all non-cloud feedbacks, and (k) all feedbacks. Negative values on the x-axis represent a stronger anomalous energy sink in the NH relative to the SH, and a positive (negative) slope through the data corresponds to an agent that dampens (promotes) asymmetry in the TOA energy budget. Note that the feedbacks are not normalized by temperature, and the different x-axis scales for panels a-b versus c-k..... pg. 185

**Figure 4.8:** Hemispheric Contrast (NH minus SH) in the SW cloud radiative response ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) versus hemispheric contrast in upwelling clear-sky SW radiation. Negative values for the cloud response indicate an energy sink, so positive values on the vertical axis indicate increases in SW

reflection by clouds in the SH relative to the NH. Positive values for upwelling clear-sky SW radiation indicate an energy loss term for the atmosphere, so positive values on the horizontal axis indicate preferential clear-sky SW reflection and a stronger sink of energy in the NH. Results are shown for global ( $0^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $90^{\circ}\text{N}$  minus  $0^{\circ}\text{S}$ - $90^{\circ}\text{S}$ ), tropical ( $0^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $30^{\circ}\text{N}$  minus  $0^{\circ}\text{S}$ - $30^{\circ}\text{S}$ ), and extratropical ( $30^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $90^{\circ}\text{N}$  minus  $30^{\circ}\text{S}$ - $90^{\circ}\text{S}$ ) domains. All datapoints represent individual eruptions after averaging over the five ensemble members..... pg. 187

PREVIEW

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1. Opening

*“During several of the Summer Months of the Year 1783, when the Effect of the Suns Rays to heat the Earth in these northern Regions should have been greatest, there existed a constant Fog over all Europe. This Fog was of a permanent Nature; it was dry, and the Rays of the Sun seem’d to have little Effect towards dissipating it, as they easily do a moist Fog arising from Water. They were indeed rendered so faint in passing thro’ it, that when collected in the Focus of a Burning Glass they would scarce kindle brown Paper; Of course their Summer Effect in heating the Earth was exceedingly diminished. Hence the Surface was early frozen...*

*Hence the first Snows remained on it unmelted, and received continual Additions.*

*The Cause of this Universal Fog is not yet ascertained. Whether it was adventitious to this Earth, and merely a Smoke proceeding from the Consumption by Fire of some of those great burning Balls or Globe which we happen to meet with in our rapid Course round the Sun, and which are sometimes seen to kindle and be destroy’d in passing our Atmosphere, and whose Smoke might be attracted and retain’d by our Earth: Or whether it was the vast Quantity of Smoke, long continuing to issue during the Summer from Hecla in Iceland, and that other Volcano which arose out of the Sea near that Island; which Smoke might be spread by various Winds over the northern Part of the World; is yet uncertain.”*

*-Benjamin Franklin*

The above quote, written over 200 years ago by Benjamin Franklin (Franklin, 1784) was perhaps the first attempt to link volcanism and atmospheric phenomena, in particular when a strange “dry fog” (a sulfate haze resulting from tropospheric oxidation of volcanogenic sulfur gases) and unseasonably cold weather struck Europe. Franklin also suggests in the quote that a meteorite may have been responsible. However, there was indeed a major eruption in Iceland (albeit Laki, not Hekla, which is ~75 km away) in 1783-1784, one of the largest volcanic eruptions in recorded historical times. The Laki eruption produced ~14.7 km<sup>3</sup> of basaltic lava

(Thordarson and Self, 1992), a volume sufficient to cover New York City (~789 km<sup>2</sup> in area) with over 18 meters in basalt. In Iceland, the haze led to the loss of most of the island's livestock (from eating fluorine contaminated grasses), crop and vegetation failure (due to acid rain), and because of famine and disease, the death of ~20% of the country's human residents (Thordarson and Self, 2003).

Volcanic eruptions are very important for society, and have strongly impacted past cities and civilizations (e.g., an eruption at Santorini in Greece at ~1620 B.C. produced a thick layer of pumice and ash that buried Bronze Age settlements and permanently altered the local topography, see Friedrich et al., 2006; or the 79 A.D. Vesuvius eruption that deposited large quantities of ash onto the buildings of the Roman city, Pompeii). In 1883, the eruption of Krakatoa in Indonesia destroyed hundreds of villages and killed ~33,000 people. In 1902, the Pelée eruption in the Caribbean killed ~29,000 people (e.g., Luong et al., 2003).

Historical paintings reflect changes in the color of the sky following large eruptions due to the optical effects of the volcanic cloud, and in fact the ambitious task of using red-to-green ratios in historical artwork to reconstruct paleo- aerosol optical depths has been undertaken (Zerefos et al., 2014). The optical effects caused by Tambora for several years following 1815 have been widely reported, including sunspots seen from the naked eye, dimming of the moon and stars in a clear-sky atmosphere, and prolonged sunsets and twilights observed near London, features that have been used to calculate visible optical depths (Stothers, 1984).

Volcanic eruptions also impact air travel, as with the Icelandic Eyjafjallajökull eruption in 2010. Since the residence time of ash can be as long as weeks, the ash does not have a strong climate impact but is able to paralyze air traffic far away from the source. Eyjafjallajökull caused

the cancellation of 108,000 flights, particularly in Europe, disrupted the travel plans of 10.5 million passengers, and cost the airline industry in excess of \$1.7 billion (Budd et al., 2011).

Many eruptions of the sort described above are therefore of interest as a geologic hazard and to historians and archeologists. However, in many cases, the aerosol cloud is primarily restricted to the troposphere and the volcanic material (see next section) falls out on timescales of days to weeks, leaving minimal long-term impact extending beyond the recovery timescale of that which was affected by the eruption.

Explosive stratovolcanic events provide an extra dimension to the impact of volcanic eruption. The 1815 Tambora eruption (on the Indonesian island of Sumbawa), for instance, immediately killed tens of thousands of people, but the impacts of the volcano were felt worldwide due to significant global cooling (Oppenheimer, 2003; Raible et al., 2016), resulting in major changes to European and North American weather, as well as crop failures. The eruption allegedly inspired Mary Shelley's "Frankenstein" while North America experienced the so-called "Year without a Summer" (anecdotally, summer frosts were pervasive in the northeast United States and snowfall was recorded in June in Albany, NY; Baron, 1992). Limited instrumental measurements suggest Northern Hemisphere (NH) temperatures dropped by  $\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  in 1816 (Stothers, 1984).

## **1.2. Volcanoes and Climate— A primer**

This remainder of this thesis focuses on the climate impact of volcanic eruptions, especially on tropical hydroclimate and during the last millennium (LM)<sup>1</sup>. I also stress the relatively short-to-intermediate impact (~seasons to years) following volcanic eruptions, which approximately corresponds to the residence time of sulfate aerosols that form in the stratosphere. Indeed, it is now recognized that the metric most closely related to the volcanic projection onto climate is the sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from volcanoes, not necessary the explosivity, volume of erupted magma, or ash injection (Pollack et al., 1976; Rampino and Self, 1984). Volcanoes also emit Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) that is rapidly converted to SO<sub>2</sub>, along with Halogens, CO<sub>2</sub>, and water vapor. The oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> by OH and subsequent reactions yield sulfuric acid vapor (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) that condenses onto particles to form sulfate aerosols, typically at sizes similar to a visible wavelength and where scattering of solar radiation is strongest.

It would be possible for eruptions to warm the surface if the sulfate aerosols were very small or large (<0.05µm or >2.2µm; see e.g., Coakley and Grams, 1976; Lacis et al., 1992). In the former case, scattering is very small; for the large particle limit, the thermal component begins to increase with particle size while scattering asymptotes to a constant value as particle size becomes larger than the contributing solar wavelengths (Lacis, 2015). Thus, for large particles, the shortwave and longwave contributions will be of comparable magnitude. The longwave component also depends on the height of the aerosol, since the greenhouse effect depends upon the temperature difference between the surface and emission layer. However, particles are only very small in their formative stages, and if very large, tend to fallout quickly, leaving intermediate size particles (where solar scattering dominates) as the expected players to

---

<sup>1</sup> This will refer to either the 850-1850 or 850-2005 C.E. interval, corresponding to the pre-industrial LM and historical simulation period for the experiments in CMIP5/PMIP3. The study in chapter 2 includes the historical extension period, but chapter 3 does not.

the perturbation in the stratospheric aerosol layer. Thus, large sulfur-rich volcanic excursions will almost always be expected to cool the terrestrial climate.

Sulfate aerosols typically heat the stratosphere via absorption of longwave radiation, but in principle could cool the stratosphere if injected in the upper stratosphere, because of the higher local temperatures and increased efficiency for cooling to space (Lacis, 2015) relative to the capacity for absorbing upwelling radiation from lower layers. Stratospheric temperatures are observed to increase in the lower and mid stratosphere following the El Chichón (April 1982) and Mt. Pinatubo (June 1991) eruptions (Randel et al., 2016). For large tropical eruptions, the stratosphere warming results in anomalous temperature gradients aloft between the equator and poles, and an enhancement of the polar vortex. This has been implicated in leading to warming over sectors of the northern mid-latitudes during boreal winter (e.g., Robock and Mao, 1992; Kirchner et al., 1999; Shindell et al., 2004; Stenchikov et al., 2004, 2006) via dynamical responses in the jet stream that overcome the direct radiative effects of shortwave scattering in the winter high-latitudes. This highlights a difference between tropical and high latitude eruptions. However, fewer studies have targeted the implications of hemispheric asymmetry in the aerosol loading until very recently, which I visit in chapter 3.

The fragmented magmatic material forming the ash of the eruption is comprised of larger (typically  $>10\mu\text{m}$  to mm) and settles out quickly, leaving behind the aforementioned sulfur gases that form the enduring aerosol layer of concentrated sulfuric acid. It is worth noting that explosive eruptions may have a relatively small sulfur injection. For example, Mt. St. Helens in 1980 was very explosive but did not put much sulfur into the stratosphere, thus resulting in minimal climate impact (Robock, 1981). Similarly, sulfur-rich eruptions that are not sufficiently explosive to protrude into the stratosphere will have little climate impact. In fact, annual

anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are larger than background eruption fluxes or even the input from a Pinatubo-sized eruption. However, the actual sulfur burden from volcanoes and human activity is comparable (Graf et al., 1997), due to the fact that the sulfur is often injected at higher elevations with volcanoes, even for background tropospheric eruptions. However, volcanoes dominate the variability in stratospheric loading where the residence time is much longer. Thus, the residence time for volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> is higher than for anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub>. Water vapor released in the eruption also affects atmospheric chemistry and the rate of sulfate formation (LeGrande et al., 2015), although a proper treatment of chemistry in models is in its infancy and not the way volcanic forcing is implemented in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5)/Paleoclimate Model Intercomparison Project Phase 3 (PMIP3) generation of GCM's.

CMIP5/PMIP3 is the most recent iteration of coordinated model experiments with multiple contributing groups (Braconnot et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2012); the simulations covering the period 850-1850 C.E. as part of the past1000 initiative (with some groups contributing historical extensions to these runs) are a subset of the contributing target paleo time intervals (along with the Last Glacial Maximum and mid-Holocene). Notably, the past1000 runs are transient simulations using the same model versions as for future projections, and include sporadic volcanic activity in the input forcing, allowing for a greater sample of events to be probed than is possible using just the instrumental period.

The most recent climatically meaningful volcanic excursion was that of Mt. Pinatubo in 1991, which briefly interrupted the ongoing long-term global warming trend (Figure 1.1) and helped produce global cooling by up to ~0.5 °C despite the concurrent El Niño at the time (the effects of ENSO are linearly removed in Figure 1.1, which amplifies the Pinatubo influence). Such cooling also emerges in a composite sense when considering the largest eruptions during